UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,261	06/25/2007	Karsten Duda	23709	6092
535 KF ROSS PC	7590 05/18/201	EXAMINER		
5683 RIVERD	ALE AVENUE	MARCANTONI, PAUL D		
SUITE 203 BOX 900 BRONX, NY 10471-0900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

EMAIL@KFRPC.COM ereyes@kfrpc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,261	DUDA, KARSTEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Marcantoni	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	or.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/590,261 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

35 USC 112 Second Paragraph:

Claims 1-35 are rejected under the second paragraph of 35 USC 112 for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim applicants' invention.

Consider amending all claims to using comprising claim language and deleting "characterized".

Claim 1 is indefinite as applicants do not particularly point out the specific carbonate compound in claim 1 which is critical for their drying and sealing properties.

Consider adding the limitations of claim 5 (potassium carbonate) into claim 1 and all other independent claims (e.g. claim 18).

Claim 1 is also indefinite with respect to filling materials. It would appear not any filling material will lead to the sealing and drying properties critical to applicants' inventive composition. Consider canceling claim 8 and inserting the limitations of this claim into claims 1 and 18.

Claim 1 would appear vague since applicants use "mass%" in claim 1 and throughout the claims and specification. Please consider amending the entire disclosure and deleting mass% and replacing with wt% or weight%.

Claims 1-35 do not contain the article (A, An, or The). In claim 1, in the preamble, amend to –An agent--. In claims 2-8, --The agent--. In claim 9, --A method---. In claims 10-17, --The method---. In claim 18, --A method--. In claims 19-32, --The method--.

In claim 8, delete "or a mixture of these materials" and insert –mixtures thereof--. In claims 1,9,18, the term "especially" is indefinite. Delete it.

In claim 9, delete "such as" before bricks and insert therefor --including--.

The terms "8 to 15 proportions" is vague in claim 9. Do applicants mean 8 to 15 parts water. Further, is this based on the filler material out of 100 parts of filler material? Clarification is requested including possible amendment.

Claims 12 and 21 are indefinite as it is unclear how this matching occurs.

Claims 14 and 23 are indefinite with respect to "a mixture of these". Amend to –or mixtures thereof---.

Claim 15 is indefinite. Do applicants mean –parts—water? Further, is it based on 100 parts of filler material. This is unclear if this is a breakdown of a composition within a composition. In other words, a breakdown of total parts by weight or total amounts of filler which includes water. The same is true for claim 17. Make the same corrections.

The term "especially" is indefinite in claim 18. Delete it.

The term "such as" is indefinite and can be replaced with –including--.

Claim 24 is indefinite as was claim 9, 15, and 17. Do applicants mean--parts water--? Also, address the same concerns made by examiner for claim 15. The same is true for claims 26-27. Proportions should be amended to –parts--.

Claim 28 is indefinite. What do applicants mean mineral colors hydrophobic? What is the material? How can a color which is just a description of the visual be hydrophobic?

Claim 31 is indefinite as it is unclear what "matched to one another encompasses".

Claims 33-35 are indefinite because "use of" claims are improper, indefinite, and also fail under 35 USC 101 as not a proper statutory class of invention. Amend to --A

Art Unit: 1793

method of using for independent claim 33 and make the dependent claims --The method of using according to----.

Claim 35 would appear indefinite. Do applicants mean mineral mixtures? Please amend all claims to correct that have the terms mineral colors if mineral mixtures is what is really meant.

35 USC 112 First Paragraph (Enablement):

Claims 1-35 are rejected under the first paragraph of 35 USC 112 as failing to provide an enablind disclosure and not commensurate in scope with applicants' enabling disclosure.

It would appear that undue experimentation beyond the level of ordinary skill in the art would be required to determine which specific carbonate and filling materials are critical to achieve applicants properties such as making hydrophobic and sealing.

Applicants are referred to heading in Chapter 2100 (Patentability) under "Undue Experimentation" which includes undue experimentation factors or "Wands factors" (from In re Wands Case Law Decision) that necessitate this rejection.

This rejection can easily and immediately be overcome by inserting potassium carbonate into all independent claims (e.g. claim 1) and also inserting the specific fillers including those in claim 8 and mixtures thereof. Note that the examiner's search he found that this application was already allowed as a Europe Patent and these specific limitations would appear to be set forth in those independent claims.

It is expected that upon making these suggested amendments this application would be in immediate condition for allowance. No prior art was found close to instant

Application/Control Number: 10/590,261 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

invention except for that within their own disclosure (See [0004] for DE 3804741 A1).

This German patent had similar components but not the same ones in the respective amounts claimed by applicants for their instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul Marcantoni/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793